

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/007,788	Applicant(s) BONNETTE ET AL.	
	Examiner Paul A Roberts	Art Unit 3731	

All Participants:

(1) Paul A Roberts.

(2) Brad Peterson.
Status of Application: non-final rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 December 2003
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The election of species was corrected by the applicant. There was a typographical error in the original restriction which was explained to the applicant. The applicant then proceeded to email the examiner the corrected response to the election of species.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)